

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

MARC VEASEY, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RICK PERRY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-193 (NGR)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

TEXAS LEAGUE OF YOUNG VOTERS
EDUCATION FUND, *et al.*,

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF HISPANIC
COUNTY JUDGES AND COUNTY
COMMISSIONERS, *et al.*,

Plaintiff-Intervenors,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-263 (NGR)

TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF NAACP
BRANCHES, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

NANDITA BERRY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-291 (NGR)

BELINDA ORTIZ, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF TEXAS, *et al.*,

Defendants

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-348 (NGR)

[Proposed] ORDER

Having reviewed Representative Debbie Riddle's motion to quash (ECF No. 317) and the NAACP and MALC's opposition thereto (ECF No. ____), Representative Riddle's motion is **DENIED**.

Representative Riddle's deposition shall proceed forthwith on mutually agreeable dates. The deponent may invoke a state legislative privilege in response to particular questions, but the deponent must then answer the question subject to the privilege. Those portions of the deposition transcript may then be designated as highly confidential pursuant to Paragraph 2.1 of the Consent Protective Order (ECF No. 65) and submitted to the Court for *in camera* review, along with a motion to compel, if the party taking the deposition wishes to use the testimony in these proceedings.

SO ORDERED.

Date:

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE